



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,150	07/03/2001	Dietmar Uhde	PD000032	2593
THOMSON m	7590 08/24/2007 ultimedia Licensing Inc.		EXAM	INER
Patent Operations			ORTIZ CRIADO, JORGE L	
Two Independence Way P.O. Box 5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 135). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) cince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 22-25 27,31-35,37 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner		09/898,150	UHDE ET AL.				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherward to them may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.18(a), in no event, however, may a reply be briefy filed. If NO period for reply a specified above, the maximum statisticiny period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Fallute to travely willing he set or careded parved for reply in specified above, the maximum statisticiny period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Fallute to travel the maximum statisticiny period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Fallute to travel the maximum statisticiny period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Period will apply and the spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Period will apply and the spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Period will apply and the spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Period will apply and the spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Period will apply and the spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Period will apply and the spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Period will apply and the spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Period will apply and the spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Period will apply and the spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Period will apply and the spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Period SIX (8) SIX	Office Action Summary		Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Explaination of from may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.736(a). In no event, however, may a valuely be finally filled to reply is specified above, the maximum statulory period with apply and will explore X(a) (A) MOVINTO from the mailing date of this communication or reply is specified above, the maximum statulory period will apply and will explore X(a) (A) MOVINTS from the mailing date of this communication, even if firmly lifed, may reduce any examine patient from adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 25 May 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 22-25,27.31-35.37 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5] □ Claim(s) 22-25,27.31-35.37 and 38 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * ○ □ None of . □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ Certif		Jorge L. Ortiz-Criado	2627				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of three may be available under the provisions of 30° CRI 1.336°, in the overt. Towers, may a reply be larrely field If NO pends for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallute to reply willing the set or created pends of the remainded pends of reviewed by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication. Pends of the communication (s) filed on 25 May 2007. 23 X This action is FINAL. 2b This action is not communication (s) filed on 25 May 2007. 23 X This action is FinAL. 2b This action is not confident in the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 X Claim(s) 22-25. 27.31-35.37 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a Of the above claim(s)		ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time myby be subject burst for provided under the provided under the provided under the provided of this communication. Fallows for prov. which the set or extended period for reyor, with by status, cause the application to become ARANDOPIC 30 to SC 1933. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Fallows for prov. which the set or extended period for reply with by status, cause the application to become ARANDOPIC 30 to SC 1913. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared pattern than adjustment. See 37 CFR 1-704(s). Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2007. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final. 3]☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 22-25,27,31-35,37 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5]☐ Claim(s) 22-25,27,31-35,37 and 38 is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) 22-25,27,31-35,37 and 38 is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12☐	• •	(IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2007. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final. 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 22-25.27,31-35.37 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☒ Claim(s) are subjected to. 7)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1)☐ Notice of Draftsparson's Paten Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)☐ Hofmation Discolaure Statement(s) (PTO/ISB/08)	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 22-25.27.31-35.37 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 22-25, 27, 31-35, 37 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	ay 2007.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 22-25.27.31-35.37 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) Note of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Torwing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	·					
Al) Claim(s) 22-25,27,31-35,37 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S808) 5) □ Notice of Information Patent Application	·	·					
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Disposition of Claims						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	4) Claim(s) 22-25,27,31-35,37 and 38 is/are pend	ling in the application.					
6) Claim(s) 22-25,27,31-35,37 and 38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		eted.					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	r election requirement					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	8)[_] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Information Patent Application	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Tric oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOME FOR				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1. Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1. Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 2 Notice of Informal Patent Application							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u> </u>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	·						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
·	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-24, 27, 31-35 and 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Bakx U.S. Patent No. 5,072,435 in view of Okazaki et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,831,947 and further in view of Shim U.S. Patent No. 6,608,804.

Regarding claim 22, Bakx discloses a method for reducing an initialization time of an apparatus for reading from an optical recording medium, said optical recording medium having identification information data which enables the identification of the optical recording medium individually among at least optical recording media of the same type (See Abstract; col. 1, line 35 to col. 2, line 57), comprising the steps of:

detecting, from an optical recording medium inserted into said apparatus, the identification information data of the optical recording medium to identify the optical recording medium (See col. 5, lines 31-43; Figs. 2,10);

determining if adjustment values associated with control for reading from the identified optical recording medium are accessibly stored for said apparatus (See col. 5, lines 31-43; Figs. 2,10; i.e. intensity, field strength, pulse width, speed);

in response to identifying that adjustment values for said apparatus, setting control and regulating circuits of said apparatus in accordance with stored adjustment values (see col. 5, lines 45-48; Figs. 2,10) and

in response to determining that adjustment values for said apparatus are not accessibly stored, initializing said apparatus to determine respective adjustment values for the control and regulating circuits of said apparatus such that said apparatus is able to optimally read from and write to the identified optical recording medium, and respectively storing said determined adjustment values for said apparatus and the corresponding identification data of said identified optical recording medium (see col. 5, lines 48-61; Figs. 2,10).

Bakx discloses that the adjustment parameters are only few examples of the large number of adjustment parameters, which are possible that are associated to adjustment for reading from the identified optical recording medium. Bakx discloses the claimed invention except for the specific adjustment values associated with <u>tracking or focus</u> control.

However, this feature is well known in the art and is evidenced by Okazaki et al., which discloses a method for reducing an initialization time of an apparatus for reading from and writing an optical recording medium, having identification information data which enables the identification of the optical recording medium individually among at least optical recording media of the same type, obtaining the identification information data of an optical recording medium inserted into said apparatus to identify said optical recording medium (See Fig. 4, #100; col. 8, lines 14-16);

determining if adjustment values associated with <u>tracking or focus</u> control for reading from and writing to the identified optical recording medium are accessibly stored for said apparatus (See Fig. 4, #101; col. 7, line 64 to col. 8, line4; col. 8, lines16-19);

in response to identifying that adjustment values for said apparatus, setting <u>tracking or focus</u> control and regulating circuits of said apparatus in accordance with stored adjustment values (See Fig. 4, #105-107; col. 8, lines 25-43) and

in response to determining that adjustment values for said apparatus are not accessibly stored, initializing said apparatus to determine respective adjustment values for the <u>tracking or focus</u> control and regulating circuits of said apparatus such that said apparatus is able to optimally read from and write to the identified optical recording medium (See Fig. 4, #102-103; col. 8, lines 34-42), and respectively storing said determined adjustment values for said apparatus and the corresponding identification data of said identified optical recording medium (See Fig. 4, #104; col. 8, lines 34-42).

It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include adjustment values associated with <u>tracking or focus</u> control in order to control and regulates the read and/write operations optimally with high accuracy, controlling parameters that are corrected to accommodate various variations or irregularities in the apparatus for the apparatus for reading from and/or writing an optical recording medium and reducing considerably the time required for automatic regulation of circuits of said apparatus, as taught by Okazaki et al.

Bakx in combination with Okazaki et al. further discloses wherein the apparatus comprises an optical read unit, as Bakx discloses where the location for recording the

identification data depends on the type of the recording media used. But Bakx does not expressly disclose wherein a Burst Cutting Area "BCA" data present on the optical recording media is used as the identification data of the optical recording media, as recited in the claim "wherein a content of a BCA data area on the recording medium is used as the identification data; wherein detecting the identification data comprises coarsely focusing an objective lens of the apparatus and displacing an optical scanner of the apparatus into a position which is predetermined for the BCA data area; and wherein the identification data is detected without track regulation.

However, the features of a "BCA" data area <u>used to obtain identification information</u> or other types of information is well known standard in the art and is normally provided for identification and/or authorization of discs and is evidenced by Shim.

Shim discloses a method for quickly producing read or write readiness of an apparatus for reading from or writing to an optical recording medium, the recording medium having identification information items which individually identify the recording medium individually among recording media of the same type (i.e. same types: "Optical Media", among the same type DVD, CD, CD-ROM, DVD-ROM etc.), which includes of a Burst Cutting Area "BCA" comprising an identification information data to rapidly and accurately performs discrimination of the different discs, by displacing the optical read unit into a position predetermined for the BCA data (BCA area on innermost area of the disk; col. 4, lines1-3; Fig. 4, #402),

coarsely focusing the optical read unit onto the optical recording medium is an inherent characteristics of using a BCA area, at very least some coarse focusing has to be performed, for reading the BCA region, the mere fact that the optical unit has to be positioned on the BCA to read it, implies that at very least a coarse focus has to be performed;

and wherein the identification data is detected without track regulation, this is also an inherent characteristic of using and reading a BCA area of a disk, where servo tracking is not performed, due to the structure of the BCA. Because, a BCA area has a width wider than a track pitch, it applies to two or more tracks. For this reason, track servo is turned OFF when the BCA is reproduced.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include the identification information as in "BCA" data identification in order to quickly and accurately performing the identification as suggested by Shim, and further since the BCA signal level is larger in amplitude and longer in cycle as compared with the pit signal of the program area of the recording medium, the BCA signal is easily distinguished at the time of reproducing by a simple circuit, furthermore the BCA would also aids in piracy protection as well know in the art.

Using a BCA for identification is also admitted by the Applicant, which clearly acknowledged that BCA is known and well used with DVD-Rom media.

These features are prior art admitted by the applicant, which recite that "the invention can generally be applied to optical recording media which can be distinguished using individually stored features or identification information items. This is true, in particular, of DVD-ROM media, since the latter often have a "BCA code" ("Burst Cutting Area") which is individually allocated for each medium or each recording medium. After the uniform production of a series of discs, the "Burst Cutting Area" is applied by a burning operation into a specific area of the individual disc. This BCA data area is normally provided for identification and authorization of the disc. Since this BCA data area uniquely identifies a disc, this BCA data area can be used for

individual recognition of the corresponding disc" (page 3, line 28 to page 4, line 4 of the specification).

Assuming *arguendo* that the above is not applicant's admission of prior art, the features are taught by the Shim reference as used above.

Regarding claims 23 and 33, Bakx further discloses wherein the adjustment values for said apparatus are stored in a storage means for storing said determined adjustment values for said apparatus (see col. 5, lines 48-61; Fig. 1, ref# 12); Okazaki et al also discloses the feature (see col.15, lines 18-26).

Regarding claims 24 and 34, Bakx further discloses wherein said storage means comprises a "non-volatile" memory (see col. 5, lines 48-61; Fig. 1, ref# 12); Okazaki et al also discloses the feature (see col.15, lines 18-26).

Regarding claim 27, Bakx further discloses wherein the identification data of the optical recording media comprises first data identifying said optical recording medium as one of a plurality of recording types and second data specific to only the respective optical recording medium. (See col. 2, lines 1-21; col. 5, line 31-61; Fig. 2,10).

Regarding claim 31, apparatus claim 31 is drawn to the apparatus that performs the corresponding method claimed in claim 22. Therefore apparatus claims 31 correspond to method claim 22 and are rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Regarding claim 32, Bakx further discloses wherein said detection means comprise a read and a read means (See col. 3, lines 21-22 Fig. 1, ref#3).

Regarding claim 35, Bakx further discloses wherein said storage means comprises at least one of a non-volatile memory of the apparatus and a non-volatile data carrier provided externally to the apparatus (see Fig. 1, ref# 12); Okazaki et al also discloses the feature (see col.15, lines 18-26).

Regarding claim 37, Bakx further discloses wherein a method/apparatus for reducing an initialization time of an apparatus for reading from and/or writing an optical recording mediums having identification information data which enables the identification of the optical recording medium individually among at least optical recording media of the same type, as outlined above with claim 31. Bakx does not expressly disclose the use of DVD-ROM discs as optical recording media. However, an optical recording media encompass DVD-ROM discs, because DVD-ROM discs are optical recording media having identification information data; Okazaki et al also discloses the feature (see col. 1, lines 9-14, which discloses phase change optical disk).

Regarding claim 38, claim 38 recites limitations similar to the claim 22 above and is rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bakx U.S. Patent No. 5,072,435 in combination Okazaki et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,831,947 and Shim U.S. Patent No. 6,608,804 and further in view Scibora U.S. Patent No. 6,366,544.

Bakx in combination with Okazaki et al. and Shim discloses all the limitations based on claim 22, as outlined above. Bakx in combination with Okazaki et al. and Shim further shows wherein a storage means is accessible by the apparatus. But Bakx in combination with Okazaki et al. and Shim does not expressly disclose an external storage means.

However this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Scibora, which discloses a storage means carrier provided externally to an apparatus, and in that the content of the file of said storage means is accessible by said apparatus (See col. 3, lines 9-11; col. 4, lines 21-29; Fig. 1).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a storage means provided externally to the apparatus and in that the content of the file of said storage means is accepted into a memory which is provided in the apparatus, because by providing the external storage means allows update by downloading to the memory in the apparatus, with other content files which identifies the recording medium and enable reading the recording medium by the information content downloaded to the memory of the apparatus, as suggested by Scibora.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05/25/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argues that Bakx reference absolutely does not teach, disclose or suggest, "determining if adjustments values associated with parameters values for reading from optical recording medium are accessibly stored for said apparatus".

And, where Bakx absolutely does not teach, disclose or suggest the Applicant's invention, that directly influence READING, such that teachings of Bakx teach away from the Applicant's claimed invention and that Bakx is silent about a process of reading and that does not contain any motivation to reduce initialization time.

The Examiner cannot concur with Applicant.

Bakx teaches wherein the identification data of the inserted optical recording medium is read by said apparatus before said apparatus reaches "a read readiness state/Optimum conditions" (see col. 5, lines 31-61; col. 6, lines 34-35; step A11, A12, A14 are performed in a non-optimum conditions /before read readiness state, time while the adjustments using the parameters have NOT being made, NOT Optimally Adjusted hence no "fine" focusing are adjusted etc.).

Bakx discloses and teaches reading from and writing the identified optical recording medium which specifically discloses a <u>READ/WRITE</u> head # 3 as in Fig. 1, and where a write means (i.e. elements # 3, #8 in Fig. 1) are <u>Optimally Adjusted</u> depending on the Identification data Read, determining if adjustments values <u>associated</u> with parameters values for reading from and writing to the identified optical recording medium are accessibly stored for said apparatus, in that, as acknowledged by Applicant, Bakx teaches several adjustments parameters (i.e. intensity, field strength, pulse width, speed) and at <u>Very Least</u> the Adjustment parameter of light Intensity, Speed etc., directly influences the ability to READ, and it would be understood to one of ordinary skill in the art, that these parameters are associated with parameters values for reading, to see for example references made of record in 04/07/2004, 12/23/2004, Massakawa U.S. Patent No. 5,155,719, U.S. Patent No. Suzuki 4,989,195).

The fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious.

Furthermore, prior art reference teaches away from claimed invention if it suggests that developments flowing from its disclosures are unlikely to produce objective of invention, and what reference teaches person of ordinary skill in art is not limited to what reference specifically "talks about" or what is specifically "mentioned" or "written" in reference. What a reference teaches a person of ordinary skill is not, as "Applicant's expert" appears to believe, limited to what a reference specifically "talks about" or what is specifically "mentioned" or "written" in the reference. Syntex (U.S.A.) LLC v. Apotex Inc., 74 USPQ2d 1823 (CA FC 2005); *In re Gurley*, 27 F.3d 551, 553 [31 USPQ2d 1130] (Fed. Cir. 1994).

KSR <u>forecloses</u> the argument that a specific teaching, suggestion, or motivation is required to support a finding of obviousness. *Ex parte Smith*, USPQ2d, slip op. at 20, (Bd, Pat. App.& Interf. June 25, 2007) (citing KSR, 82 USPQ2d at 1396).

Applicant argue that Okazaki absolutely fails to bridge the substantial gap between the invention of the Applicant and the teachings of Bakx, because Okazaki does not discloses detecting from an optical recording medium inserted in the apparatus the identification data.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

The examiner is not relying on Okazaki to show this, because as outlined on the office action above, Bakx teach this feature.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jorge L. Ortiz-Criado whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri 10:00 am- 6:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,150 Page 13

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

joc

/Thang V. Tran/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627